UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,371	11/26/2001	Robert F. Cruickshank III	260155US28	1817
	7590 08/11/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	8 MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAMINER TRAN, NGHI V ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	INER
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			TRAN, NGHI V	
ALEXANDRIA	(IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/995,371	CRUICKSHANK	ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NGHI V. TRAN	2151	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on), which is after the	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	y, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tra	ansmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 	·		
after the expiration of the period for reply.	_(with a Certificate of Mailing of Tran	Sillission dated	
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a representation)	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	king court review
7. X The reason(s) below:			
The examiner called Charles A. Mirho (Reg.# 41,19 yet.	9) to confirm the abandonment. I	No repsonse has	been received
/John Follansbee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2151			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to